		1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
2			
3		X	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	: 12 CR 134	
5		:	
6	-against-	:	
7	3	United States Courthouse Brooklyn, New York	
8	ADNAN HAUSA,	:	
9	Defendant.	January 30, 2015 : 4:00 o'clock p.m.	
10		X	
11			
12	TRANSCRIPT OF CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRIAN M. COGAN		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
14	APPEARANCES:		
15	AFF LANANCES.		
16	For the Government:	LORETTA E. LYNCH	
17		United States Attorney BY: SHREVE ARIAIL	
18		Assistant United States Attorney 271 Cadman Plaza East	
19		Brooklyn, New York	
20	For the Defendant:	SUSAN KELLMAN, ESQ.	
21		0 0 1 7 1	
22	Court Reporter:	Gene Rudolph 225 Cadman Plaza East	
23		Brooklyn, New York (718) 613-2538	
24			
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.		

```
2
1
              THE CLERK: United States versus Adnan Hausa, docket
 2
    number 12 CR 134.
 3
              Counsel, please state your appearances, starting
 4
    with the government.
              MR. ARIAIL: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
5
              Shreve Ariail from the United States.
 6
7
              THE COURT: . Good afternoon.
8
              MS. KELLMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
9
              Susan Kellman for Mr. Hausa.
10
              THE COURT: Good afternoon.
11
              Good afternoon, Mr. Hausa.
12
              I will note that we have an interpreter. Let's have
13
    him sworn, please.
14
              Stand, sir.
              THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
15
16
               (The interpreter is affirmed. His name is
17
    Mohammed A. Shuaib.)
18
              THE CLERK: You may be seated.
19
              THE COURT: All right. Status, please.
20
              MR. ARIAIL: Your Honor, I think at the last status
21
    conference counsel indicated that he and she were going to
22
    seek a culturally appropriate psychiatrist to potentially
23
    review Mr. Harun in terms of his competence to add a different
24
    perspective.
25
              THE DEFENDANT: Last time I say and I was taken
```

3 Before we start everything, if you want to start 1 2 everything, let us know. I don't know why I am in this 3 courtroom. The judge that is in front of me, he said he is 4 going to talk to him and from the Missouri Medical Center. THE COURT: I have the note. 5 6 THE DEFENDANT: So I don't know why I am here now. 7 THE COURT: Okay. It will --8 THE DEFENDANT: And as soon as everything is done, 9 my lawyer for 28-month I didn't see the embassy. I don't 10 understand anything. You taking me here. THE COURT: 11 Sir, I am going to need you to control 12 yourself and stop talking. Your time to talk will come. A11 13 will be revealed. 14 THE DEFENDANT: I don't accept. I don't accept 15 anything --16 THE COURT: I am going to again ask the defendant to 17 stop interrupting the proceedings so that we can tell where we 18 are and what needs to be done next. 19 THE DEFENDANT: I don't accept it. 20 THE COURT: You don't have to accept it. You just 21 need to be quiet. 22 THE DEFENDANT: Because I don't have -- from the 23 military in Napoli, the pentagon did not answer me. 24 two letters to the pentagon and I didn't receive any answer. 25 THE COURT: Mr. Harun, you are going to have to stop

4 interrupting these proceedings or you will be excluded from 1 2 them. 3 THE DEFENDANT: I don't want to hear. I don't want 4 to hear. THE COURT: 5 Then you don't have to listen. You have 6 to stay quiet. 7 All right. Ms. Kellman, where did we get to? 8 MS. KELLMAN: Your Honor, we have made considerable 9 efforts to try to find a native speaker who is a licensed 10 psychologist or even an unlicensed psychologist. 11 THE COURT: I need to interrupt you because the 12 defendant has started doing what he did last time. 13 MS. KELLMAN: Humming. 14 THE COURT: Which is to hum in a loud enough voice to disrupt the proceeding. 15 I have to tell you, Mr. Harun, we can do this 16 proceeding with you or without you. But if you don't obey the 17 18 rules of the Court it will be without you. 19 THE DEFENDANT: The protocol of my rights. 20 THE COURT: I am going to ask you one more time to 21 stop making noise and to stop disrupting the proceeding or I 22 will exclude you. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Human rights, human rights. THE COURT: All right. The defendant declines to 24 25 stop interrupting these proceedings and we are therefore going

5 to exclude him from the courtroom. We will set up an 1 2 alternative courtroom for him to watch the proceedings in and 3 we will take it from there. 4 We will reconvene in ten minutes. Thank you. 5 (Recess taken.) 6 7 (After recess; the defendant not present.) 8 THE COURT: Okay. The defendant is next door with 9 the interpreter and he is watching these proceedings live and 10 with simultaneous translation. Let's be sure to talk into the 11 mikes so the interpreter gets everything we are saying. 12 Okay. You were saying, Ms. Kellman, about the quest 13 to find a culturally similar evaluator? 14 MS. KELLMAN: We have not had any luck, Judge, in our search for somebody who speaks Hausa. I have had 15 16 conversations with people at the embassy and they have said 17 that they would make an effort to find someone for us. We did 18 have more luck finding someone who speaks Arabic, but more 19 often than not our client has refused of recent date to speak 20 Arabic even though he understands it. 21 I still think it would be advantageous to have 22 somebody, even if they spoke Arabic, be someone from his 23 country. 24 So we really haven't -- it's not that we haven't 25 made the effort. We have not come up with a winner as of yet.

I hesitate to suggest that we go forward because I think, as we all see, we do have reports that say that he is competent and I do think he has the ability to turn it on and turn it off. But there are diseases that allow you to do that as well as willfulness and I don't feel competent to judge whether it is willful or organic.

THE COURT: Unfortunately, that job falls to me.

Let me ask you, what's the alternative at this point besides going forward?

MS. KELLMAN: The alternative would just be another 30 days to try to find someone and continue to try to find someone.

THE COURT: If you could tell me you thought it was more likely than not that you will first be able to find someone and you also think there is a reasonable chance that your client will cooperate sufficiently with an evaluation, I would do that; but it doesn't sound like that's the case.

MS. KELLMAN: Even if the first -- if I could meet the first bar, which is finding someone who fits the bill that we have laid out, in terms of cooperation, I think cooperation has been seriously difficult, obviously, on the one hand. On the other hand, he might respond to somebody from his country or someone who speaks his language. On the other hand, we did produce not just someone from the consul general's office but the actual ambassador of his country, which surprised all of

7 us when he walked into the courthouse and had a card that said 1 2 he was the ambassador of the country, the nation of Niger. 3 But he then very quickly after cooperating with the ambassador for several hours determined that he was just a plant from the 4 CIA and then --5 6 THE COURT: That's what he said? 7 MS. KELLMAN: Yes. 8 Now he continued, even today, I don't know if the 9 Court heard him, to say he has a right to meet with his 10 ambassador and he's never been able to do that. That's one of 11 the lines he's adopted, that he has not been able to do that 12 because the person that we brought in was a shill. 13 MR. ARIAIL: I can confirm, it was ambassador of 14 Niger, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Yes. I have no doubt, this was not one of the scams that come out of that continent. 16 17 I guess, Ms. Kellman, it falls on you in All right. the initial instance to either ask me for more time or to tell 18 19 me you don't think more time will help you. 20 Judge, I think since we are -- like I MS. KELLMAN: 21 said, I think you nailed the issue, which is, one, can I find 22 someone -- I have confidence that eventually I will find 23 someone and by eventually I am getting closer and closer to 24 either knowing whether someone exists or not. But on the 25 second issue of cooperation, I just have no way of knowing

whether or not there is any reasonable -- any reason to suspect that he will cooperate. Although from the reports from Springfield you could say that he basically has at least said, I don't know why I am here talking to you. There is nothing wrong with me. So he can be coherent when he chooses to be and, again -- -- again, if his inclination to not be cooperative is organic, then maybe he needs medication. On the other hand, if it's just willful, as I think many professionals have --

THE COURT: Ms. Kellman, are you asking me for more time?

MS. KELLMAN: I suppose I am, Judge.

I don't know that we are in a great hurry. I think these are important issues. I think that -- I am thinking also to the next step. I have laid out two steps. What's the next step? Assume for the moment I say let's forego all of this. Where do we go from there? Do we go to a hearing on his competence? I don't know where I'd go with the hearing on his competence because I have a number of reports, all of which say that he can fake insanity. You can't fake sanity. Everybody seems to think that he is sufficiently lucid when he wants to be, that he is competent to go forward.

I think one of the things that he really wants,

Judge, and I don't know how we do this -- of course, I think

we want do it until there is a declaration by the Court that

he is competent, is he really wants to represent himself. I wondered if it was sensible, since he doesn't answer the Court's questions, and I don't know how the Court allows him to have standby counsel and represent himself without making a finding of competence first. I wondered if it makes sense to try to add a lawyer to the mix to see if he would cooperate with someone else. Although I really don't have any reason to think that it's Mr. Stern and myself so much as it is just cooperating with the tribunal that's not in the Hague. I'm sure if we were in the Hague there would be a reason he couldn't do it in the Hague.

THE COURT: What does the government want to do?

MR. ARIAIL: Your Honor, as it stands, as I
indicated to the Court at the last status conference, the
government at this point thinks it is appropriate to move
forward with the case, at least as it relates to competence.
We have the reports of two doctors, both of whom are extremely
respected and credentialed, doctors who have opined that he is
in fact competent and I think it's worth looking at Doctor
Mills's report in particular because of his willingness to
cooperate with Doctor Mills in at least one setting.

let's first determine if this is it. Is this the hearing?

MR. ARIAIL: In terms of the hearing, I think it
would be appropriate to have a hearing in which the government

Before you argue the competence issue,

THE COURT:

10 simply moved for the Court to find him competent and presented 1 2 these two reports to Your Honor to consider. 3 THE COURT: You don't intend to do that today? 4 MR. ARIAIL: I can do that today. I am happy to do that today. If Your Honor would like me to do that today, I 5 6 will do that today. 7 MS. KELLMAN: That will help me make a decision, 8 which is that I think we should try another 30 days to try to 9 find someone. 10 THE COURT: All right. Here is what I am going to 11 do. 12 MS. KELLMAN: I will say this also, Judge. 13 Mills saw my client very early on in these proceedings at a 14 time when there seemed to be a glitch in his behavior as 15 opposed --16 THE COURT: Judge? MS. KELLMAN: No, Doctor Mills. 17 18 THE COURT: Doctor Mills. 19 MS. KELLMAN: Did I say Judge Mills? 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MS. KELLMAN: Doctor Mills, so --22 THE COURT: I didn't know if you meant Judge Korman 23 or Doctor Mills. 24 Saw him at a very early stage when we MS. KELLMAN: 25 just began after months of coherence to see a glitch in some

of his behavior. So we thought it would be on the safe side to bring in somebody to talk to him and at that point he responded incredibly favorably and I don't know candidly if it was because it was Doctor Mills and his expertise or because he saw an interpreter who he hadn't seen for quite a long time but someone who he felt very comfortable with, very relaxed around. He hugged him for some time. It really was like seeing him see family again and so I think that that also may have contributed to it being early in the stage. I think he's a very different individual today from the individual that we dealt with back then. So I would like another opportunity to see if we can find another person.

THE COURT: All right. Here is what I am going to do. I am going to set March 5th as the competence hearing date. I will take whatever the government has to give me. If the defendant is able to obtain a report or an expert that he wants to call, you will let me know that, Ms. Kellman, in advance of the hearing. Then you will make whatever discovery is required in connection with that. Then I will adjourn a couple of weeks so that the government can respond.

But I think it's likely based on what I have seen that the defendant is not going to get more cooperative so I might as we will set the hearing date for the competence hearing. If it can't go forward because there is more evidence and there is a need for more discovery, we will worry

12 about that then. 1 2 MS. KELLMAN: I understand. 3 THE COURT: Does that work for you? 4 MR. ARIAIL: Yes, Your Honor. That's totally workable. 5 6 The only thing I would ask is that it not be the 7 fifth but it possible be early the following week because I 8 will be traveling for most of the rest of February. 9 THE COURT: I was really referring to your schedule. 10 I wasn't referring if you liked it or not. 11 MS. KELLMAN: I was going to let him off the hook 12 and say I -- it won't work for all of us. I know how the 13 government doesn't like to say they are not ready. We are all 14 traveling together. 15 MR. ARIAIL: I am ready today. 16 THE COURT: All right. My deputy reminds me that 17 this interpreter that we are working with is the only one we 18 So even if we are all available, we have to make sure he is. 19 20 Melonie, why don't you go next door and check out 21 the whole week of -- which week are you all going? 22 MS. KELLMAN: The week -- the second week of March. 23 MR. ARIAIL: The ninth, the week of the ninth is the week that I will be available. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay. Let's try for that Friday.

13 have a trial scheduled, although I don't know if it will 1 2 happen. Let's try for Friday, at 2:15. See if he can do, 3 that Friday, March 13th, at 2:15. 4 MR. ARIAIL: 2:15 or 2:30? THE COURT: 2:15. 5 6 MR. ARIAIL: Thank you. 7 THE COURT: If it doesn't work for the interpreter, 8 we will think of something else. 9 MR. ARIAIL: Just so I am clear, Your Honor, in 10 terms of filings, you would like the government to submit 11 something by that date or before that date? 12 THE COURT: I have the reports. 13 MR. ARIAIL: Okav. 14 THE COURT: If what's going to happen is, in terms of a formal hearing, the government is simply going to tender 15 16 them, then you can do that then. If there is anything else 17 you want to tender that's been appropriately disclosed, you 18 may do that as well; and the same is true of the defendant. 19 MR. ARIAIL: Certainly. 20 I think it's likely that we would also provide you 21 with additional 302s that the -- other materials that doctors 22 had themselves so you can at least take a look at the 23 underlying materials, some of which they rely on. 24 THE COURT: I think that's good. If there is going 25 to be materials like that, you should get it to me in advance

14 of the hearing and then you will again formally offer them 1 2 into the record at that point, so we will have a complete 3 record of the hearing that day. 4 MR. ARIAIL: Certainly, Your Honor. THE COURT: As I said, the same is true of the 5 defendant. If you have anything you want to give me, let me 6 7 I assume at the very least there will be a proffer of what testimony from this defendant would look like, which I 8 9 think I know what it would look like. I could assume that if 10 you were going to call him at this hearing he would give 11 answers along the lines of which he has been giving while he 12 has been in court with me. I will take that proffer into 13 account in determining his competence. 14 MR. ARIAIL: Your Honor, also, just for the Court's 15 awareness, he made various statements to the psychiatrist, 16 some of which are memorialized, in which he declared he was 17 competent himself. Those are other statements. He's also had 18 some other court proceedings that may be worth looking at. We 19 will add that to the mix. 20 THE COURT: Please don't flood me with documents the 21 day before. 22 MR. ARIAIL: I won't, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Does that work? 24 THE CLERK: No. 25 (Pause.)

```
15
1
              THE COURT:
                          How about Thursday, at 2:15?
 2
              MR. ARIAIL: That's fine for the government, Your
3
    Honor.
 4
              MS. KELLMAN: That works for me, Judge.
              THE COURT: All right. I will exclude time until
5
    then because we have the competence matter still pending and
6
7
    we will see where we go on that date.
8
              MR. ARIAIL: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
              THE COURT: Anything else?
10
              MS. KELLMAN: Thank you, Judge.
11
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. Thanks. Have a good weekend.
12
              MR. ARIAIL: Thank you.
13
              MS. KELLMAN: Thank you.
14
               (Matter concludes.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```